

University Awards Review Rubric
Excellence in Teaching Award
(4 recipients with more than 3 years of experience
and 1 recipient with 1-3 years of experience, minimum of one full year)

Name of Nominee: _____

Date: _____

Nomination Form Packet Review:

Mandatory:

- Coversheet
- Statement of Support from nominating body
- Curriculum Vitae (max three pages)
- Statement of teaching philosophy
- Table averaging scores for questions 2.5 (Overall, this was a good course) and 3.7 (Overall, the instructor taught this course effectively), number of responses, and department/unit comparison for three consecutive years
- Letter of support from a peer
- Letter of support from a student

Optional/Additional Materials

- Recognitions received by the individual during service at UWSP such as: written testimony by peers and students (avoid redundancy)
- Student portfolios, or teaching portfolios

Enter a score up to the maximum indicated or NA for each (0=didn't provide, 1=minimal provision, maximum score=excellent provision, NA = not applicable)

Application Component	Score
The application is complete	Y/N
Curriculum Vitae	
Consistently detailed and meaningful enough to guide decision-making about professional experiences as they relate to innovative teaching	/5
Demonstrates a range of teaching experience	/5
Supportive of teaching excellence in unit (e.g., serving on teaching-related committees, teaching related scholarship, and/or teaching related professional development)	/10
Feedback:	
Teaching Philosophy	
Teaching philosophy provided, and detailed enough for reader to understand excellence in teaching	/5
Displays an exceptional understanding of pedagogy and a thoughtful approach to teaching	/10
Supports the mission of the university	/5
EDI-focused pedagogy	/5
Feedback:	
Teaching Effectiveness	
Course evaluations: Scores are consistently or increasingly strong to excellent and compare favorably with department/unit	/10

Letter from peer: Provided and clearly illustrates excellence in teaching	/5
Letter from student: Provided and clearly illustrates excellence in teaching	/5
Nomination statement of support: Provided and clearly illustrates excellence in teaching	/5
Feedback:	
Optional Materials	
Optional: Summary of qualitative feedback, such as written comments on student evaluations, provided and clearly illustrates excellence in teaching	/5
Optional: Exemplary student work such as student portfolios or teaching portfolios	/5
Feedback:	

Total score: ____ / ____ Percent: ____ %

* If nominee did not provide 'Optional Material', mark with N/A. Do not include N/A categories as part of the overall possible score.